*Case 16-00744 Doc 7 Filed 02/23/17 Entered 02/24/17 08:22:23 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 2

16-00744:6.1:Motion for Default Judgment:Proposed Order Entered: 2/8/2017 2:45:07 PM by:Andrew Dryjanski Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY NORTHEN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

In re: Case No. 16-32063)
STACY M. MEINEN,)
Debtor,)
THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF) BK No. 16-32063
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY,	,)
) Adv. Pro. No. 16-00744
Plaintiff))
v.)) Judge Jack B. Schmetterer
STACY M. MEINEN,)
Defenda) nt.)

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter coming on to be heard on the Motion for Default Judgment on the Verified

Complaint herein and after such hearing the court makes the following finds of fact and conclusions of law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The defendant, STACY M. MEINEN, was employed by Majenta, Inc., for the weeks ending June 2, 2012 through September 29, 2012.
 - 2. The defendant earned wages for said period as set forth in the Notice of Fraud Decision.
- 3. During the same period of time the defendant applied for and received unemployment benefits totaling \$9,288.00.
- 4. During the same period of time the defendant made the representation she was not employed in her application for unemployment benefits.

Case 16-00744 Doc 7 Filed 02/23/17 Entered 02/24/17 08:22:23 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 2

16-00744:6.1:Motion for Default Judgment:Proposed Order Entered: 2/8/2017 2:45:07 PM by:Andrew Dryjanski Page 2 of 2

- 5. The defendant did not report her employment or her earnings during these periods.
- 6. As of February 23, 2017, the sum of \$5,402.92 is due.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Through his false representations, the defendant received a total of \$9,288.00 in unemployment benefits to which she was not entitled.
 - 2. As of February 23, 2017, the defendant is indebted to plaintiff in the sum of \$5,402.92.
- 3. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(2)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, said debt is not dischargeable as it is a debt for obtaining money by false representations.
- 4. Defendant's debt to plaintiff is excepted, under 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(2)(A), from any discharge granted the defendant in the underlying bankruptcy case.

FEB 23 2017

Dated

Enter: